Phase Change Materials: Innovation in Adaptation Technology to Address Permafrost Thaw

Christopher Stevens, PhD SRK Consulting, Inc.

Catherine Kim, Jenna Craig, Eric Bing Transport Canada





Current Design Approach

- Design approach
 - Maintain permafrost foundation (most common)
 - Thermal conduction cover
 - Reduce heat gain to underlying foundation
 - Limit thaw depth to embankment fill
- Common outcomes
 - Thaw exceeds fill thickness
 - Perpetual thaw of ice-rich permafrost
 - Embankment distress
 - Potential for ROW ponding of water & advection
 - Increased O&M costs
 - Risk to users with potential for injury or fatality



′ukon Collec



Concept Study

- Integration of PCM to alter thermal properties of fill
 - High heat of fusion
 - Capable of storing large amounts of energy
 - Select PCM certified environmentally safe & biodegradable
- Control placement and transfer of energy
 - Placement of energy within PCM fill
 - Delayed propagation of thawing front





Ice-rich permafrost



Phase Change Materials





Layered and woven materials



Macroencapsulated



Latent heat to break hydrogen bonds (melting phase 334,000 J kg⁻¹)

Phase Change Materials



Embankment Fill

- Material type
 - Well-graded sand and gravel
 - Crushed rock
- Moisture and thermal properties
 - Relatively low moisture content
 - Reduced latent heat of fusion
 - Reduced heat capacity
- Leads to more rapid changes in sensible heat

PCM added to fill to alter the thermal properties (increase latent heat requirements and heat capacity) to control placement and transfer of the energy.





Integration of PCM into Fill – Concept Level



PCM-modified Fill

Micro /macro encapsulated PCMs blended with the granular



PCM-filled Vessel

Interlocking PCM-filled vessels to form a horizontal layer



Phase Change Materials

- Phase change temperatures
 - Within expected range of ground temp.
- Thermal conductivity
 - Liquid values similar to water
 - Solid values several similar to water
- Heat of fusion
 - High, generally lower than water
- Range of specific heat values

Product	Phase Change Temperature	Thermal Conductivity (W/m°C)		Latent Heat	Specific Heat (kJ/kg°C)	
	(°C)	Liquid	Solid	(kJ/kg)	Liquid	Solid
Water	0	0.56	2.24	334	4.18	2.10
1	-15	0.55	2.34	301	2.06	1.84
2	-2	0.60	2.21	277	4.02	2.10
3	5	0.15	0.25	187	2.26	1.78
4	8	0.14	0.22	178	2.15	1.85
5	15	0.15	0.25	182	2.56	2.25
6	18	0.15	0.25	192	1.74	1.47
7	20	0.14	0.23	171	2.15	2.07
8	23	0.15	0.25	227	1.99	1.84

Microencapsulated PCM shell





Microencapsulated PCM shell

Fill Thermal Properties (without PCM)

Cree PCM

PCM-Modified Fill





-----Fill without PCM ----- PCM-modified (40%, Pt -2°C) ----- PCM-modified (60%, Pt -2°C)



Thermal Modeling

- Theoretical model cases not completed for specific site
- FlexPDE 1D and 2D models
- PCM integrated as apparent heat capacity term
- 1D model results shown today
- Warm permafrost (-1°C) (most difficult)



Thermal Modeling Results – Maximum Thaw Depth



Maximum Thaw

Thermal Modeling Results – Maximum Annual Temperature





Thermal Modeling Results – Phase Change Temperature





Conclusions

- PCMs are well-suited for temporary storage of thermal energy due to the high latent heat and specific heat of the material.
- Products are being used by a wide-range of industries and some are certified for environmental applications and biodegradable if released to the surrounding environment.
- Thermal modeling has demonstrated that PCMs could effectively store seasonal energy in construction fill and delay propagation of the thawing front which would otherwise lead to more rapid warming of permafrost and potential for thaw-settlement. This approach is distinct from insulation.
- PCM-modified fill was estimated to have the best thermal performance due to the distribution and quantity of PCM added to the granular fill. The PCM vessel showed some thermal benefit, however; at this time, it is determined to not be practical due to the vessel thickness needed to achieve the energy requirements.
- Thaw depth for PCM-modified fill was maintained within or immediately below the embankment fill for a warm permafrost case (-1°C) and would be expected to limit permafrost degradation over a 30-year period.
- PCM concept is unlikely to be applied alone as a measure to mitigate disturbance at sites that already have extensive permafrost degradation beneath the highway embankment. The concept would be more applicable sites with relatively minor permafrost degradation or newly constructed highways.